arXiv:1512.00337v1 [math.NT] 1 Dec 2015

ABSOLUTELY ABNORMAL, CONTINUED FRACTION NORMAL NUMBERS

JOSEPH VANDEHEY

Abstract. In this short note, we give a proof, conditional on the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, that there exist numbers x which are normal with respect to the continued fraction expansion but not to any base b expansion. This partially answers a question of Bugeaud.

1. Introduction

A number $x \in [0,1)$ with base b expansion $0.a_1a_2a_3\ldots$ is said to be normal to base b if for every finite string of digits $s = [d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k]$ with $d_i \in \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, b-1\}$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{A_{s,b}(n;x)}{n} = \frac{1}{b^k},$$

where $A_{s,b}(n;x)$ denotes the number of times s appears in the string $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$. Similarly, a number $x \in [0,1)$ with continued fraction expansion

$$x = \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{a_3 + \ldots}}} = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots \rangle, \quad a_i \in \mathbb{N}, \tag{1}$$

is said to be normal with respect to the continued fraction expansion (or CF-normal) if for every finite string of positive integer digits $s = [d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_k]$, we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{B_s(n;x)}{n} = \mu(C_s),$$

where $B_s(n;x)$ denotes the number of times the string s appears in the string $[a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$, μ denotes the Gauss measure $\mu(A) = \int_A dx/(1+x)\log 2$, and C_s denotes the cylinder set corresponding to s, the set of numbers in $[0,1)$ whose first k continued fraction digits are the string s.

If we let $\mathcal{N}_b \subset [0,1)$ denote the set of base-b normal numbers and let $\mathcal{M} \subset [0,1)$ denote the set of CF-normal numbers, then by standard ergodic techniques all the sets $\mathcal{N}_b$, $b \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{M}$ have full Lebesgue measure. Despite the size of these sets, exhibiting any normal number is quite difficult. It is not known if π is normal to any base b or if it is CF-normal. All explicit examples of normal numbers (such as Champernowne's constant $0.12345678910111213\ldots$ [5], which is normal to base 10, or the Adler-Keane-Smorodinsky CF-normal number [1]) were constructed to be normal.

Date: December 2, 2015.

Our interest in this paper is understanding how the sets $\mathcal{N}_b$, $b \geq 2$, and $\mathcal{M}$ relate to one another. Steinhaus asked whether being b-normal implied normality for all other bases [15]. Maxfield showed that if $b, c \geq 2$ are positive integers such that $b^r = c$ for some rational number r, then $\mathcal{N}_b = \mathcal{N}_c$ [11]. On the other hand, if $b^r = c$ and r is not rational, then Cassels and Schmidt showed that $\mathcal{N}_b \setminus \mathcal{N}_c$ contains uncountably many points [4, 14]. In fact, Schmidt showed that given any two sets of positive integers S and T, the set of numbers in $\bigcup_{b\in S} \mathcal{N}_b \setminus \bigcup_{b\in T} \mathcal{N}_b$ is uncountably infinite, unless prevented by Maxfield's theorem.

Even fewer non-trivial examples of constructions of such selectively normal numbers exist. Bailey and Borwein showed that some of the Stoneham constants, which were constructed to be normal to a given base b, are not normal to certain other bases [2]. Greg Martin gave an explicit construction of an irrational number that is not in *any* of the $\mathcal{N}_b$—such a number is said to be absolutely abnormal, as it is not normal to any base [10].

Less is known about CF-normal numbers. Analogous definitions of normality exist for other types of continued fraction expansions besides the Regular Continued Fraction expansion used in (1): for some of these expansions, it is known that the set of normal numbers for these expansions equals $\mathcal{M}$ (see, for instance Kraaikamp and Nakada [7] and the author [16]).

In a similar vein to Steinhaus's question, Bugeaud has asked the following in the above terminology [3, Problem 10.51]: Does there exist a $b \geq 2$ such that $\mathcal{M} \setminus \mathcal{N}_b$ is nonempty—that is, do there exist CF-normal numbers which are not normal to a given base b? Furthermore, is $\mathcal{M} \setminus \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_b$ nonempty—that is, do there exist CF-normal but absolutely abnormal numbers? As with Cassels and Schmidt, we will show the answer is yes, but at present we can only offer a conditional yes.

Theorem 1.1. *On the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, the set $\mathcal{M} \setminus \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_b$ is dense and uncountable.*

We will use standard asymptotic notation in this paper. By $f(x) = O(g(x))$, we mean that there exists a constant C such that $|f(x)| \leq C|g(x)|$. By $f(x) = o(g(x))$, we mean that $\lim_{x\to\infty} f(x)/g(x) = 0$.

2. Some additional facts

2.1. **On continued fractions.** Let $x = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots \rangle$. We let p_n/q_n denote the lowest terms expression for the truncated expansion $\langle a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \rangle$ and refer to this as the nth convergent. When not clear which number q_n refers to, we will state it as $q_n(x)$. The following facts can be found in most standard texts dealing with continued fractions, such as [6].

The q_n's satisfy a recurrence relation: with $q_{-1} = 0$ and $q_0 = 1$ we have

$$q_{n+1} = a_{n+1}q_n + q_{n-1}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \cdots .$$

We also have that q_n and q_{n+1} are relatively prime for $n \geq 0$. If x is irrational, then its continued fraction expansion is infinite and unique. If x is rational, then it is finite and not unique; however, the only finite expansions we will consider are convergents and so non-uniqueness will not be a concern in this paper.

Moreover, we can state in some way how well the convergents approximate x. In particular, we have

$$\left| x - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{a_{n+1} q_n^2}. \tag{2}$$

Moreover, assuming x is irrational, then $x - p_n/q_n$ is positive if and only if n is even.

If we let $x = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n \rangle$ and $s = [a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n]$, then the cylinder set C_s consists of the interval between x and $\langle a_1, a_2, a_3, \ldots, a_n + 1 \rangle$. (We will not care about whether endpoints are included.) Moreover, the length of the cylinder set decays to 0 as n tends to infinity.

2.2. **On Artin's Conjecture.** We reference the following result of Lenstra [8, Theorem 8.3].

Theorem 2.1. *Assume the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis. Let g, f, a be positive integers and let $P_{g,f,a}$ denote the set of primes p such that g is a primitive root modulo p and $p \equiv a \pmod{f}$. Let d be the discriminant of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{g})$.*

The set $P_{g,f,a}$ is finite if and only if one of the following conditions is true:

1. *there exists a prime q such that $q|f$, $a \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$, and g is a perfect qth power;*
2. *we have $d|f$ and $\left(\frac{d}{a}\right) = 1$, where here we are using the standard Kronecker symbol; or,*
3. *we have $d|3f$, $3|d$,*
$$\left(\frac{-d/3}{a}\right) = -1$$
and g is a perfect cube.

Conditional asymptotics on the size of $P_{g,f,a}$ can be found in the work of Pieter Moree [12, 13].

From this we get the following simple corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *Assume the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis. Let g, f, a be positive integers such that f is relatively prime to both g and $a - 1$, and $g \geq 2$ is not a perfect square. Then $P_{g,f,a}$ is infinite.*

Proof. Let g' be the largest squarefree divisor of g. First note that, by standard facts about discriminants, we have that

$$d = \begin{cases} g', & \text{if } g' \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, \\ 4g', & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By our assumptions, $g' \geq 2$, so we must have that $d \geq 2$ and that d shares a prime in common with g.

If f is relatively prime to $a - 1$, then for any prime $q|f$ we have that $a \neq 1 \pmod{q}$, so the first condition of Theorem 2.1 fails. If f is relatively prime to g then since d shares a prime in common with g, we cannot have that $d|f$, and hence the second condition fails. By a similar argument, if $d|3f$ and $3|d$, then we must have that $d = 3$; however, this is impossible since d is either 0 or 1 modulo 4. Hence the third conditions fails as well, and so $P_{g,f,a}$ must be infinite. □

3. Proof of the theorem

Consider any $x \in [0,1)$ that is CF-normal. Let $x = \langle a_1, a_2, a_3, \dots \rangle$. Let N be some large even integer, and let $\{X_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be the sequence of strings given by

$$X_1 = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N], \qquad X_i = [a_{2^{i-2}N+1}, a_{2^{i-2}N+2}, \dots, a_{2^{i-1}N}], \text{ for } i \geq 2$$

so that x could be represented as the concatenation of the strings X_i. We will abuse notation slightly and allow ourselves to write $x = \langle X_1, X_2, X_3, \dots \rangle$.

We will consider a sequence of positive integers $L = \{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \dots\}$ and create a new continued fraction expansion

$$y = \langle X_1, \ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, X_2, \ell_5, \ell_6, \ell_7, \ell_8, X_3, \ell_9, \ell_{10}, \ell_{11}, \ell_{12}, X_4, \dots \rangle = \langle a'_1, a'_2, a'_3, \dots \rangle. \tag{3}$$

It is easy to see that $B_s(n; x)$ will differ from $B_s(n; y)$ by at most $O(\log_2 n) = o(n)$, and thus y will be CF-normal regardless of what L is. However, we claim that we can choose L in such a way so that y will be absolutely abnormal. Since x and N were arbitrary and x and y both belong to the cylinder set C_s where $s = [a_1, a_2, \dots, a_N]$, this would also give us the density statement of the theorem. We will moreover show that for any fixed x and N, there are uncountably infinite possibilities for L such that y is absolutely abnormal: If x and N are fixed, then each distinct choice of L gives a distinct value of y, thus giving the uncountability statement of the theorem.

(If we instead wanted to prove a theorem about numbers that are absolutely abnormal and also *not* CF-normal, then we could insert a sufficiently long string of 1's after each X_i. The rest of the construction would be unaltered.)

Let us illustrate how we intend to construct an L that will leave y absolutely abnormal. We will assume throughout the rest of this proof that x and N are fixed.

Let $\{b_1, b_2, b_3, \dots\}$ be a sequence of non-square bases $b_i \geq 2$ such that each base that is not a perfect square occurs infinitely often, for example $\{2, 2, 3, 2, 3, 5, 2, 3, 5, 6, \dots\}$. Let $n_i := 2^{i-1}N + 4(i-1)$, so that a'_{n_i} is the digit of y that occurs at the end of the string X_i. This digit will then be followed by $a'_{n_i+j} = \ell_{4(i-1)+j}$ for $j = 1, 2, 3, 4$. Our choice of $\ell_{4(i-1)+1}$, $\ell_{4(i-1)+2}$, and $\ell_{4(i-1)+3}$ will then be made so that $q_{n_i+3}(y)$ is a power of b_i; that is, the $n_i + 3$th convergent to y will have a finite base b_i expansion. Let $r_i \in \mathbb{Q}$ denote this $n_i + 3$th convergent of y and let k_i denote the number of digits in its finite base b_i expansion.

Since we have assumed that N is even, we have that $n_i + 3$ is always odd and hence, for any choice of L, we have that $y - r_i$ is negative. Let us consider r_i in its non-terminating base-b_i expansion, i.e., the one that ends on the digit $b_i - 1$ repeating. In particular, all digits after the k_ith digit will equal $b_i - 1$. By (2), if ℓ_{4i} is sufficiently large—in particular, if ℓ_{4i} exceeds $b_i^{k_i^2}$—then the base-b_i expansions of y and r_i only start to differ after the k_i^2th place. (Since $y < r_i$, this was why we considered the non-terminating expansion for r_i.)

Suppose that we can find L such that all of the r_i's are rationals whose denominator is a power of b_i and that ℓ_{4i} is always sufficiently large as defined in the previous paragraph. Then for any non-square base $b \geq 2$, there are infinitely many b_i's such that $b_i = b$. For each such i, we know that at most k_i of the first k_i^2 digits in the base-b expansion of y differ

from $b-1$. Thus, if we let $s=[b-1]$, then

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty}\frac{A_{s,b}(n;y)}{n}=1.$$

So y cannot be base-b normal for any non-square base. For the remaining bases, we note that any square number $b\geq 2$ can be represented as a rational power of a non-square $c\geq 2$. By Maxfield's theorem as mentioned in the introduction, we know that $\mathcal{N}_c=\mathcal{N}_b$. Since we have already shown y cannot be base-b normal for any non-square base b, it must therefore not be base-b normal for any square base b either, and thus y is absolutely abnormal.

It remains to show that we can find not just one, but uncountably many L's with the desired properties. We will do this by showing that regardless of what $\{\ell_1,\ell_2,\ldots,\ell_{4(i-1)}\}$ are, we can choose $\ell_{4(i-1)+1},\ell_{4(i-1)+2},\ell_{4(i-1)+3}$ in such a way so that r_i has a denominator that is a power of b_i. Thus we can construct uncountably many L's with the desired properties by first finding a desired ℓ_1,ℓ_2,ℓ_3, then noting that we have countably many possibilities for ℓ_4, namely all the values that are sufficiently large; and for each choice of ℓ_4, we can find a desired ℓ_5,ℓ_6,ℓ_7, and then have countably many possibilities for ℓ_8; and so on.

Fix i for now, and suppose that $\ell_1,\ell_2,\ldots,\ell_{4(i-1)}$ have already been chosen. We will let q_n refer to the denominator of the rational number $\langle a_1',a_2',\ldots,a_n'\rangle$, where the a_j''s are as in (3), presuming we have chosen the values of enough ℓ_j's for this definition to make sense.

Since q_n and q_{n+1} are always relatively prime for any n, we know that for any prime p, there exists an $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\ell q_{n_i}+q_{n_i-1}\not\equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

By the Chinese Remainder Theorem, we can thus find an $\ell\in\mathbb{N}$ such that $\ell q_{n_i}+q_{n_i-1}$ is relatively prime to both b_i and $q_{n_i}-1$. We let $\ell_{4(i-1)+1}=\ell$ so that q_{n_i+1} is now relatively prime to b_i and $q_{n_i}-1$.

By our assumption that the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis is true, Corollary 2.2, and our choice of q_{n_i+1}, there exist infinitely many primes congruent to q_{n_i} modulo q_{n_i+1} for which b_i is a primitive root. We choose $\ell_{4(i-1)+2}\in\mathbb{N}$ so that $q_{n_i+2}=\ell_{4(i-1)+2}q_{n_i+1}+q_{n_i}$ is one of these primes.

Now since q_{n_i+2} is a prime for which b_i is a primitive root and since q_{n_i+1} and q_{n_i+2} are relatively prime, the following equation has a solution:

$$q_{n_i+1}\equiv b_i^k \pmod{q_{n_i+2}}.$$

We may suppose, without loss of generality that k is a sufficiently large integer so that $b_i^k>2q_{n_i+2}$. Then we choose $\ell_{4(i-1)+3}$ so that $b_i^k=q_{n_i+3}=\ell_{4(i-1)+3}q_{n_i+2}+q_{n_i+1}$. But this shows that q_{n_i+3} is a power of b_i as desired and the proof is complete.

4. Further questions

It would be nice if we could give an unconditional proof of Theorem 1.1. It would be especially nice if such a proof came as a result of a proof of the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, but given the difficulty of such a problem, we propose the following question, which could lead to an unconditional result. Let $b\geq 2$ be fixed. For any rational number $p/q=\langle a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n\rangle$, does there exists a finite string $s=[a_1',a_2',\ldots,a_m']$ of length $m=o(n)$

such that the rational number $p'/q' = \langle a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n, a'_1, a'_2, \ldots, a'_m \rangle$ has a denominator that is a power of b? Can one replace $o(n)$ with $O(1)$?

We showed, assuming the Generalized Riemann Hypothesis, that $\mathcal{M} \backslash \bigcup_{b=2}^{\infty} \mathcal{N}_b$ is uncountable and dense. Bill Mance communicated the following question: what is the Hausdorff dimension of this set? Mance has studied the dimensional analysis of such differences sets in other cases, most notably for Q-Cantor series, and found that these difference sets often have full Hausdorff dimension [9].

5. Acknowledgments

The author acknowledges assistance from the Research and Training Group grant DMS-1344994 funded by the National Science Foundation. The author would also like to thank Kevin Ford, Greg Martin, and Paul Pollack for their helpful comments.

References

[1] Roy Adler, Michael Keane, and Meir Smorodinsky, *A construction of a normal number for the continued fraction transformation*, J. Number Theory **13** (1981), no. 1, 95–105.
[2] David H. Bailey and Jonathan M. Borwein, *Nonnormality of Stoneham constants*, Ramanujan J. **29** (2012), no. 1-3, 409–422.
[3] Yann Bugeaud, *Distribution modulo one and Diophantine approximation*, Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, vol. 193, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.
[4] J. W. S. Cassels, *On a problem of Steinhaus about normal numbers*, Colloq. Math. **7** (1959), 95–101.
[5] D. G. Champernowne, *The Construction of Decimals Normal in the Scale of Ten*, J. London Math. Soc. **S1-8**, no. 4, 254.
[6] Karma Dajani and Cor Kraaikamp, *Ergodic theory of numbers*, Carus Mathematical Monographs, vol. 29, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 2002.
[7] Cor Kraaikamp and Hitoshi Nakada, *On normal numbers for continued fractions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **20** (2000), no. 5, 1405–1421.
[8] H. W. Lenstra, Jr., *On Artin's conjecture and Euclid's algorithm in global fields*, Invent. Math. **42** (1977), 201–224.
[9] B. Mance, *Number theoretic applications of a class of Cantor series fractal functions. I*, Acta Math. Hungar. **144** (2014), no. 2, 449–493.
[10] Greg Martin, *Absolutely abnormal numbers*, Amer. Math. Monthly **108** (2001), no. 8, 746–754.
[11] John E. Maxfield, *Normal k-tuples*, Pacific J. Math. **3** (1953), 189–196.
[12] Pieter Moree, *On primes in arithmetic progression having a prescribed primitive root*, J. Number Theory **78** (1999), no. 1, 85–98.
[13] ———, *On primes in arithmetic progression having a prescribed primitive root. II*, Funct. Approx. Comment. Math. **39** (2008), no. part 1, 133–144.
[14] Wolfgang M. Schmidt, *Über die Normalität von Zahlen zu verschiedenen Basen*, Acta Arith. **7** (1961/1962), 299–309.
[15] H. Steinhaus, *Problem 144, The New Scottish Book*, Wrocław 1946–1958.
[16] Joseph Vandehey, *On the joint normality of certain digit expansions*, arXiv:1408.0435, 2014.